Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/728,934	KELLER, THOMAS J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael I Poe	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Michael I Poe (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Richard MacMillan (Applicant's attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 April 2004	Time: <u>11:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a warate record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview.
		***
*		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/728,934

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner faxed proposed amendments to place the application in condition for allowance to the applicant's attorney for review and approval on April 14, 2004 (see attached). The proposed amendments were necessary to make the title better reflect the allowed invention and to make the abstract better conform to the format set forth in the MPEP by reducing the abstract to less than 150 words. The applicant's attorney approved the proposed amendments and authorized the examiner to proceed with the proposed changes via Examiner's Amendment on April 15, 2004. Refer to the Examiner's Amendment for a complete listing of changes.